Profits interests are a unique solution to ownership and incentive planning available under current US tax law. Properly designed, profits interests convey an ownership share of future profits and equity upside without a capital stake in the past. This powerful incentive plan requires no buy-in; is not taxable at grant or vesting; and, its capital liquidations are taxed as capital gains.

More importantly, profits interests satisfy the very essence of high performance incentives. They bolster performance by rewarding achievement. They facilitate leadership and owner succession via equity transfer. They grow human capital by attracting new talent and retaining key employees. And they strengthen high-performance cultures by promoting equity and fairness.

As powerful a tool as profits interests can be, there also are implications, complexities, and costs attached to their use that owners and their advisors need to consider.
The power of the profits interest

Encouraging key executives to feel and act like co-owners in a privately held business is the holy grail of executive compensation planning. Nevertheless, many owners of partnerships, and their trusted advisors as well, are unfamiliar with one of the most powerful and flexible tools that US tax law currently allows for achieving that goal. This tool, which is available exclusively to LLCs taxed as partnerships and other partnerships, is the profits interest.

The profits interest enables owners to give key employees a stake in the future of a partnership without simultaneously transferring any portion of the underlying value of the firm. The grant of a profits interest has a distinguishing feature in current US tax law: it is a transfer of true equity without the typical buy-in or tax friction associated with most equity transfers. Among other things, a profits interest must be granted based on or above the then fair market value of the partnership, generally referred to as the threshold value, notionally similar to a strike price for a stock option. The threshold value is the signature characteristic of a profits interest: if the partnership was liquidated the moment after a profits interest is granted, then the profits interest has no value. Thus, unlike many other kinds of executive incentive plans, the grant of a profits interest requires no buy-in, carries no immediate tax consequence and qualifies for capital gain treatment at liquidation or sale if held for the required time period.

To borrow a concept from architectural engineering, the profits interest is a cantilevered approach to equity transfer. Architects design awe-inspiring buildings, like Frank Lloyd Wright’s Fallingwater, by cantilevering a portion of the superstructure into space without visible means of support. Similarly, profits interests extend a stake in the economic future of a company without requiring payment for the underlying capital interest foundation.

The profits interest is a powerful type of strategic incentive limited by choice of entity. C corporations and S corporations, the historical choice for middle market entities, are not permitted to use profits interests. As middle market LLCs and partnerships rise in popularity, profits interests are becoming a mainstream choice as a strategic incentive. (see Sidebar “More partnerships, more profits interests”).

Profits interest is a cantilevered approach to equity transfer.

Profits interests extend a stake in the economic future of a company without requiring payment for the underlying capital interest foundation.
More partnerships, more profits interests

The growth of pass-thru entities has triggered the growth of partnerships. Pass-thru entities have a fundamental advantage over C corporations. Pass-thru entities pay only one level of tax. C corporations can “check a box” and elect to be taxed as a pass-thru S corporation. Conversely, it is unusual for a corporation to convert to a partnership because doing so generally results in a taxable liquidation of the corporation. Accordingly, LLCs are typically natively formed, or “born”, as partnerships.

LLCs taxed as a partnership also avoid many of the restrictions of S corporations, such as single class of stock, pro-rata distributions in accordance with stock ownership, the number and nationalities of owners, financing structure, and administrative requirements. That is why, starting in the late 1990s, more and more owners of new companies began to choose the more-flexible LLC structure over the S corporation. Since then, LLCs taxed as a partnership and partnerships have exploded in popularity. According to Wall Street Journal article on February 8, 2016, by 2012, fully 26.3 percent of the multi-trillion-dollar private and public US income was generated by partnerships (see below diagram)\(^1\). If the current trend continues, within a decade, partnerships, particularly LLCs are likely to be the predominant structure in middle-market businesses that range from $25 million to $1 billion in revenue.

The upshot:
The demand for and use of profits interests among middle-market companies is in its early stages and likely to increase rapidly for years to come, subject to changes in US tax law.

Three compelling advantages of profits interests

Three characteristics can make profits interests a superior, high-performance incentive for partnerships. Specifically, profits interests are accretive, they are taxed as property and they are especially flexible. Taken together, profits interests can be especially effective tools for achieving the “jobs-to-be-done” in middle-market partnerships.

1. **Profits interests are accretive**
The value of a profits interest accrues from the future success of the business. It has no value when issued; its monetary value is generated only after it is granted—when profits and upside equity value are allocated. Hence, owners and founders can be assured that they are only giving away a portion of the upside growth of their companies. When designed correctly, a profits interest creates added value for both the underlying capital-interest founders and new profits-interest owners.
Profits interests qualifications
The capital gains safe harbor granted by the IRS to profits interests (Rev. Proc. 93-27 and Rev. Proc. 2001-43) is contingent on the following conditions:

- The profits interest must pertain to a privately-held partnership.
- The recipient of the profits interest must provide services to, or for the benefit of, the partnership, as a partner or in anticipation of becoming a partner.
- There must be no guarantee of income from the profits interest. In other words, a profits interest cannot relate to a substantially certain and predictable stream of income.
- The recipient may not dispose of profits interest within two years of receipt.

Because a profits interest has no financial value when issued, it also requires no investment on the part of the recipient. This means it is well-suited for situations in which a capital investment by key talent is not warranted or not possible. It also means that, if a profits interest is vested on receipt, it is not a taxable event in the eyes of the IRS (Rev. Proc. 2001-43) and an 83(b) election may not be required (though many tax practitioners still recommend the 83(b) as a protective measure). If owners choose to subject a profits interest grant to vesting, a zero-cost 83(b) election can be used to hold the threshold value over a period of years, thereby creating a highly effective plan to attract, retain, and motivate key talent.

2. Profits interests are taxed as capital gains
As long as qualifying conditions are met, the IRS treats a profits interest as property taxable at capital gains rates (see Sidebar “Profits interests qualifications”). When designed and directed properly, the recipient pays no tax at grant (as long as granted at or above the threshold value), no tax at vesting, and no ordinary income taxation upon the liquidation event. In these regards, the profits interest is a truly unique tax vehicle in the realm of executive compensation and incentives.

3. Profits interests are flexible
The unusually large degree of choice in the design of a profits interest makes them powerful tools for shaping the value-sharing curve of partnerships. All profits interests are composed of two parts: an annual profit allocation and a liquidation value.

All profits interests are composed of two parts: an annual profit allocation and a liquidation value.
The specifics of a profits interest grant can be customized in a variety of ways that best suit the needs of founding partners with regards to gainsharing with key employees, owner succession and preserving wealth. For instance, the award of the profits interest can be passive and non-voting or can provide “owner power” including the specific rights and privileges of the recipient in voting and access to corporate books and records. A profits interest can be granted outright or vested based on time, personal performance and/or business performance. The gainsharing value components of a profits interest, such as share of annual profit allocation and liquidation value, can be custom designed. And its payout—ranging from installments to a lump sum, for example—can also be specified and modified.

Because a profits interest need not be granted on a pro-rata basis, owners also can create customized distribution waterfalls. For example, a founder contemplating a future sale of his or her company could specify the allocation plan and distribution waterfall of profits interests to ensure that key executives receive a portion of the proceeds of the sale starting and ending at specific levels—perhaps reserving an initial portion of the proceeds for family and general partners, then including key executives up to a maximum amount, and directing proceeds above that amount back to family and founding partners.

Exhibit 1 illustrates the versatility of profits interests. Scenario 1 shows a basic, plain-vanilla profits interest—by far, the most common flavor. It grants the recipient a 10 percent allocation of annual profit of a partnership and a liquidation value of 10 percent of the company value in excess of the $100 million threshold value of the company established at grant. Scenario 2 shows a two-tiered profits interest—something an owner might design to create greater motivation, reward long-term service or reposition incentives for a strategic sale. In scenario 2, the recipient is granted a 10 percent allocation of annual profit (same as scenario 1), while the liquidation value is tiered to be 20 percent above the threshold value up to a cap of $200 million with a second-tier of liquidation value at 10 percent for company value above $200 million. The result: at a liquidation-triggering event at or above $200 million, the recipient effectively catches up to own 10 percent of the overall company fair market value without any upfront tax or buy-in friction associated with the transfer of a capital interest.
## Exhibit 1: Two Profits Interest (PI) Scenarios

### Scenario 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10% Basic Profits Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 0 (Grant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liquidation Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Value for Profits Interest grant</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Company value</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company value in excess of threshold</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI capital value (10% value over threshold)</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Annual Profit Allocation

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual company profit</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual profit allocation to PI holder (10%)</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative profit allocation to PI holder</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative value (Annual + Liquidation)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scenario 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10% Tiered Profits Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 0 (Grant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liquidation Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Value for Profits Interest grant</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Company value</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company value in excess of threshold</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI capital value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . Tier 1 (20% of value between $100 and $200)</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . Tier 2 (10% of value in excess of $200)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Tier 1 and Tier 2</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Annual Profit Allocation

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual company profit</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual profit allocation to PI holder (10%)</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative profit allocation to PI holder</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative value (Annual + Liquidation)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ millions, All numbers are pre-tax
Assumes profit allocated to the profits interest capital account distributed annually

Source: Mark C. Bronfman
Profits interests get mission-critical jobs done

As Clayton Christensen’s “Jobs to be Done” theory suggests, business owners have no interest in incentive plans, including the profits interest, per se. Instead, owners “hire” these tools to tackle jobs that need doing. The unique characteristic of the profits interest—a share of future profits without a capital stake in the past—empowers existing owners of LLCs taxed as a partnership and other partnerships to achieve a number of mission-critical jobs, including:

Driving growth

Profits interests help middle-market companies attract talented people—a prerequisite for owners who are striving to jump the “S-Curve” of predictable growth by building a leadership team capable of achieving consistent high-performance. Middle-market companies often recruit seasoned professionals from more established organizations, who might perceive a job offer as a lateral move or a step down on the career ladder. Moreover, partnerships often grow via “tuck-in” acquisitions that bring new high-potentials into the fold (when, for instance, an architecture firm acquires a smaller firm or a preexisting practice from a larger firm). Profits interests are an effective tool for doing both jobs because they enable owners to offer key hires an equity stake in future growth without requiring a buy-in. This results in a significant incentive by giving these candidates the “owner experience” without the typical friction and complexity of traditional capital interests.

Fostering a founder’s mentality and a meritocratic spirit among key employees

Profits interests assist owners in the quest to motivate key employees and improve their performance. This is why, for example, profits interests are commonly used by real estate partnerships to incentivize project managers who cannot afford to acquire a capital interest in the partnership. A stake in the profits of a business exerts a powerful force on key employees. It encourages the adoption of what Chris Zook and James Allen call “the founder’s mentality,” and it motivates key employees to collaborate to grow profitable revenue.

Succession planning

Profits interests simplify ownership succession by significantly lowering the associated tax burden. If so written, the liquidation value of a profits interest can be converted to a share of capital interests representing full, pro-rata ownership in the firm.
Profits interests also can work as part of a management buyout, recapitalization or redemption strategy. This process can, with the right fact pattern and planning, eliminate an entire level of taxation from the traditional succession transfer process. Holistic planning including profits interests can be used to facilitate an efficient transfer of ownership in family businesses to the next generation as well.

Preparing for a strategic sale
The profits interest can help a company strengthen its financials in the eyes of potential acquirers. Profits interests can be used to reposition some at-risk executive bonus incentives from “compensation” to owner distributions, thereby increasing stated EBITDA. Further, by granting key executives a stake in the anticipated future profits in lieu of salary or bonuses, growth-story companies may be able to reduce their fixed compensation costs, preserving and amassing much-needed cash to fuel growth. Finally, since properly structured profits interests qualify for capital gains treatment, they can be a more powerful incentive than traditional stock options or restricted units for key executives who are helping to prepare a business for an eventual strategic sale.

Forewarned is forearmed
Few, if any, executive incentive plans are perfect in every regard. As powerful a tool as profits interests can be, there also are implications, complexities, and costs attached to their use that owners and their advisors need to consider. Designed correctly, most of the negative effects of profits interests can be anticipated and minimized. But they can’t be minimized if they are not first recognized. The most salient considerations associated with profits interest are:

Less skin-in-the-game
The fact that profits interests are granted without cost to key executives can be blessing and a potential curse. Sometimes the only way to know if an executive has “bought in” culturally is to find out if he or she is willing to “buy in” financially. Further, once an executive dips into their pocket to buy in, he or she may be more motivated to protect that investment and more watchful of excessive risk, such as an expensive acquisition.

If owners feel that a skin-in-the-game buy-in is essential, they can combine a profits interest with a capital interest to create an integrated security that we have custom-designed called a hybrid interest.
Greater complexity
The most common flavor of profits interest is pro-rata and plain-vanilla. Design options for profits interests can lead to decision-making on the part of owners and sometimes more negotiation with key executives. Sometimes, too much choice can create complexity. Often, the solution to this complexity is the use of trusted advisors who thoroughly understand both the hard (i.e., legal and financial) and the soft (i.e., motivational and emotional) considerations of strategic incentives. Synthetic equity can be an especially useful comparison in this regard since synthetic equity is another form of equity-based incentive that can be molded to fit very specific performance, taxation and succession considerations (see Exhibit 2).

Exhibit 2: Comparing Profits Interest and Synthetic Equity
While profits interests and synthetic equity are both powerful incentive tools, they are very different in regard to design, ownership and taxation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Profits Interest</th>
<th>Synthetic Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Can be used in all C Corp, S Corp or LLC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grant must be at/or above the FMV (a/k/a, Threshold value)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Typically qualifies for some annual allocation of company profits</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>Profits Interest</th>
<th>Synthetic Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grant conveys true ownership in the partnership</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Agreement can provide the awardee the right to be redeemed at will</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Qualifies for installment payout without triggering 409A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxation</th>
<th>Profits Interest</th>
<th>Synthetic Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Typically triggers tax at grant</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tax deductible to company when settled</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Awardee qualifies for capital gains tax treatment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mark C. Bronfman, Sagemark Consulting
Implementation costs

Profits interests represent a transfer of true equity and, accordingly, profits-interest partners are true partners. Thus, the set-up and maintenance costs of profits interests can be relatively high compared with off-the-shelf, synthetic-equity incentive plans. Profits Interests are property and, as such, the operating agreement specifies the “buy-sell” terms, meaning what specifically happens in any triggering payout event such as change in control, separation of service, retirement, termination for cause, and death/disability. The holder of a majority interest in the partnership generally owes a fiduciary duty to the all owners/partners, including profits interest partners. A profits interest requires a determination of a threshold value of the company, which typically means a third-party valuation. Because there are few prototype profits interest plans, drafting a profits interest often requires customized legal documentation. Because the recipient of a profits interest must be treated as a true partner, the recipient should, among other things, pay estimated taxes on guaranteed payments and self-employment taxes, pay for certain corporate benefits, receive a K-1, and file taxes in each state that the partnership operates—costs typically borne by the partnership. Further, consistent with all true equity plans, the redemption of profits interests is not tax deductible by the company (a reason why synthetic equity is a very viable strategic-incentive alternative for LLCs). Some of these implementation costs can be mitigated by using an experienced team of value architecture professionals. Still, we expect the smallest middle-market companies may not wish to shoulder the cost of implementing profits interests.

Potential tax qualifications

Some private equity and hedge funds have been scrutinized for using a certain type of profits interest called carried interest to reposition a portion of their periodic fee compensation as long-term capital gains. Congress and the IRS have publicly considered taking exception to this use—claiming that, among other things, some private equity or hedge funds have exchanged predictable fee income for profits interests that are ineligible under the safe harbor rules. We anticipate that profits interests that fail to meet the safe harbor rules (see profits interest qualifications sidebar earlier in this paper) will be treated as compensation, not as property. Congress and the IRS could also eliminate the profits interest safe harbor altogether, but that does not appears to be very likely.
Is the profits interest the right solution for your organization?

The profits interest is a truly unique strategic incentive for middle-market companies. From a tax and incentive perspective, few structures can match its versatility and benefits. Still, given the implementation considerations, profits interests are certainly not for everyone. Owners and their advisors need to understand when and how to put this powerful tool to work in concert with other candidate executive incentive strategies.

We expect profits interests to become increasingly popular as many more middle market companies choose to be structured as an LLC taxed as a partnership. Designed properly, profits interests offer many advantages. They can bolster business performance—by rewarding achievements and fostering a founder’s mentality in key executives. They can facilitate business continuity and control—by promoting leadership succession, enabling the amassing and transfer of capital, and expanding owner optionality. They can enhance human capital—by attracting new talent and retaining key employees. They can strengthen high-performance cultures—by nurturing meritocracies and promoting equity and fairness.

Indeed, the profits interest is a powerful and dynamic tool for incentive planning in middle-market partnerships.

End Notes
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### Equity Based Incentives

**True Equity: Full Value**
1. Sell Stock to key managers
2. Compensatory stock grants
3. Owner Redemption (Gross up others)
4. Restricted Stock and RSUs
5. ESOP (leveraged or creeping ESOP)
6. Ramp-down/Ramp-up (new entity)
7. Restricted stock paid at CinC **

**True Equity: Upside Value**
8. Profits Interest in LLC
9. Drop Down Division (w/ upside interest)
10. Employee Stock Options ("Options")
11. Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs)
12. Hybrid Capital/Profits Interests
13. Out of the Money SARs, Options
14. Options/SARs paid only if CinC

**Synthetic Equity (Full or Upside)**
15. Phantom Stock (enterprise or division)
16. Phantom Stock with Owner’s Pref
17. Enterprise Value Unit Plan
18. Graduating Value Band Unit Plan
19. Liability SARs
20. Performance Shares
21. Sales Bonus upon CinC

### Cash Based Incentives

**LT Performance Cash**
22. Allocable Profit Sharing
23. Profit Appreciation Rights
24. Book appreciation rights (BARs)
25. Deferred Participation Units
26. Discretionary LTIP Plans
27. Cash bonus paid at CinC

**Deferred Cash**
28. Discretionary Bonus (group/Individual)
29. NQDC Plan (w/ comp match)
30. Qualified Profit Sharing Bonus
31. Defined Benefit Plans
32. Incentive Bonus Plan
33. Split Dollar Insurance (or 162 Bonus)
34. CinC payouts as multiple of salary

**Immediate Cash**
35. Salary increases
36. Annual Bonus
37. Sales Commission Programs
38. Spot Bonuses
39. Fringe Benefit
40. Lifestyle Incentives

* Incentives ranked from "Value Now" to "Value Later" (Value Now near top of each of six lists).

**”CinC” refers to Change in Control
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